

## **Appendix 3c**

## 16.2 2021–22 Quarterly Financial Report as at 31 December 2021

Performance Indicators

# **Ordinary Meeting of Council**

Wednesday 23 February 2022 at 7:00pm

Via Videoconference (Zoom)

### Borough of Queenscliffe

## Performance Indicators - Sustainable Capacity Indicators For the Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update

For th	e Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update							
Indica	tor / measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022 HY	Comments	
Populo	ation							
C1	Expenses per head of municipal population [Total expenses / Municipal population]	\$3,593	\$3,705	\$4,018	\$4,282	\$4,136	Queenscliffe has a very small population base of 3,008 when compared to other municipalities. Over 55% of rateable properties in Queenscliffe are nonpermanent residences that are not considered in this indicator.	
C2	Infrastructure per head of municipal population [Value of infrastructure / Municipal population]	\$12,187	\$12,038	\$12,565	\$12,601	\$15,768	Due to forecast new capital expenditure for 2021-22.	
C3	Population density per length of road	68.23	69.35	68.37	69.95	69.95	Queenscliffe has a comparatively low population (the smallest in the state) and a comparatively low length of road.	
Own-s	ource revenue		•			•	·	
C4	Own-source revenue per head of municipal population Own-source revenue per head of municipal population	\$3,199	\$3,264	\$3,258	\$3,250	\$3,663	The Borough's own source revenue includes municipal rates, and a comparatively high level of user fees and charges income, particularly with respect to fees from Tourist parks. The increase is due to the estimated accounting profit from the sale of Murray Rd land.	
Recuri	rent grants		1			1		
С5	Recurrent grants per head of municipal population [Recurrent grants / Municipal population]	\$344	\$403	\$563	\$279	\$314	Council's recurrent grant funding largely consists of the allocation of the Financial Assistance Grant (FAG) program and grant funding for Aged Services. Though there has been an increase in the FAG allocation, Queenscliffe still receives the lowest FAG funding allocation in the State. More than a half of 2021-22 FAG received in advance in 2020-21.	
Disad	vantage			_				
C6	Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage	10	10	10	10	10	Queenscliffe's relative socioeconomic disadvantage has improved, from 9 at the 2011 Census to 10 in the 2016 Census.	
	[Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage by decile]							
-	orce turnover	1				1		
C7	Percentage of staff turnover [Number of permanent staff resignations and terminations / Average number of permanent staff for the financial year] x100	11.11%	16.82%	15.38%	14.81%	9.90%	July 2021 to December 2021 staff turnover.	

#### Borough of Queenscliffe

## Performance Indicators - Service Performance Indicators For the Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update

	ne Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update								
Servio	se/indicator / measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2020	Results 2022 HY	Comments		
Aquat	tic Facilities								
AF6	<b>Utilisation</b> Utilisation of aquatic facilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Queenscliffe does not provide this service.		
	[Number of visits to aquatic facilities / Municipal population]					-			
Anima	al Management		•			•	•		
	Health and safety								
AM7	Animal management prosecutions	New in 2020	New in 2020	0%	0%	0%	Zero prosecutions. Council's policy of 'first ride home' for straying animals results in a greater emphasis on education. Animal owners are made aware of the potential penalties resulting in a reduced likelihood of penalties being issued.		
	[Number of successful animal management prosecutions / Number								
	of animal management prosecutions] x 100								
Food	Safety								
	Health and safety								
FS4	Critical and major non-compliance outcome notifications [Number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food premises	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	2 non-compliance notifications followed up.		
	followed up / Number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food								
	premises] x100								
Gover	rnance								
	Satisfaction								
G5	Satisfaction with council decisions	53	49	54	59	N/A	The survey is currently being conducted.		
	[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how council has performed in making decisions in the interest of the community]								
Librar	ies					l	•		
	Participation								
LB4	Active library borrowers in municipality	39.98%	36.92%	36.72%	31.30%	N/A	Half yearly data for active borrowers is not available.		
	[Number of active library borrowers in the last three years / The	55.5070	50.5270	50.7270	51.5070				
	sum of the population for the last three years] x100								

#### Borough of Queenscliffe

#### Performance Indicators - Service Performance Indicators

For the Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update	For the Year	Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update
----------------------------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------------

	e Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update	Results	Decult	Desults	Desults	Develte		
Servic	vice/indicator / measure		Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2020	Results 2022 HY	Comments	
Mater	nal and Child Health (MCH)	2018	2019	2020	2020	2022 ПТ		
mater	Participation			l		1		
MC4	Participation in the MCH service	87.65%	71.50%	63.98%	68.71%	N/A	Half yearly data not available.	
	, [Number of children who attend the MCH service at least once (in							
	the year) / Number of children enrolled in the MCH service] x100							
	Participation						No. Also signal shilling a secolia dife allo Consentio to 24 st	
MC5	Participation in the MCH service by Aboriginal children	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	No Aboriginal children enrolled for the 6 months to 31st December 2021.	
	[Number of Aboriginal children who attend the MCH service at							
	least once (in the year) / Number of Aboriginal children enrolled in the MCH service] x100							
Roads			1			1		
nouus	Satisfaction					1		
R5	Satisfaction with sealed local roads	65	65	66	66	N/A	The survey is currently being conducted.	
-	[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how council has					,		
	performed on the condition of sealed local roads]							
Statut	ory Planning							
	Decision making							
SP4	Council planning decisions upheld at VCAT	40.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	Two (2) planning decisions were referred to VCAT to 31st Dec 2021. VCAT upheld both planning decisions.	
	[Number of VCAT decisions that did not set aside council's decision							
	in relation to a planning application / Number of VCAT decisions in							
	relation to planning applications] x100							
Waste	Collection							
	Waste diversion							
WC5	Kerbside collection waste diverted from landfill	54.98%	52.68%	46.92%	55.50%	N/A	Due to current staff constraints in this area these figures are not available for the 6 months to 31st December 2021.	
	[Weight of recyclables and green organics collected from kerbside							
	bins / Weight of garbage, recyclables and green organics collected							
	from kerbside bins] x100							
Retire	d Measures			•		·		
Dimen	sion/indicator / measure	Results 2018	Results			Results		
			2019			2021 HY	Comments	
	Animal Management							
	Health and safety							
AM4	Animal management prosecutions	0	0	Retired in 2020	Retired in 2020	Retired in 2020	This measure was replaced by AM7 from 1 July 2019.	
	[Number of successful animal management prosecutions]							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	1				

	Dimension/indicator/measure		Results	Results	Results	Results			casts		
			2019	2020	2021	2022 HY	2022	2023	2024	2025	Material Variations and Comments
fficie	ency										
2	<b>Expenditure level</b> Expenses per property assessment	\$3,429	\$3,588	\$3,816	\$4,168	\$4,018	\$3,974	\$3,880	\$3,913	\$4,016	The majority of expenditure incurred by Queenscliffe is no discretionary and part of managing the services expected o local council. Given the very small ratepayer base, this translates into a level of expenditure per property assessm which is higher than the outcome for other local councils.
E4	[Total expenses / Number of property assessments] <b>Revenue level</b> Average rate per property assessment	New in 2020	New in 2020	\$2,101	\$2,154	\$2,185	\$2,189	\$2,227	\$2,271	\$2,317	Rate revenue represents a high proportion of Queenscliffe
	[General rates and Municipal charges / Number of property assessments]										revenue and spread across a very small ratepayer base.
iquio.	•	1					1				
1	Working capital Current assets compared to current liabilities [Current assets / Current liabilities] x100 Unrestricted cash	288.38%	503.81%	513.55%	294.05%	324.97%	301.84%	408.94%	429.50%	445.25%	Consistent with the past trend.
.2	Unrestricted cash compared to current liabilities	-52.43%	58.56%	-151.20%	38.73%	130.84%	140.60%	158.65%	179.42%	199.84%	Term deposits having original maturities of more than 90 or are being considered unrestricted.
SI-12-	[Unrestricted cash / Current liabilities] x100										
blig	ations Loans and borrowings	1					1				
02	Loans and borrowings compared to rates	0.91%	0.64%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Council currently has zero borrowings. No new loans for 20 22 forecasted.
	[Interest bearing loans and borrowings / Rate revenue] x100										
03	Loans and borrowings repayments compared to rates	0.64%	0.26%	0.25%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Council currently has zero borrowings. No new loans for 2 22 forecasted.
	[Interest and principal repayments on interest bearing loans and borrowings / Rate revenue] x100										

	ugh of Queenscliffe										
	prmance Indicators - Financial Performance Indicators										
FOR	he Year Ended 30 June 2022 - Half Year Update	Results	Results	Results	Results	Results		Fore	casts		
Dime	nsion/indicator/measure	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 HY	2022	2023	2024	2025	Material Variations and Comments
	Indebtedness										
04	Non-current liabilities compared to own source revenue [Non-current liabilities / Own source revenue] x100 Asset renewal and upgrade	1.08%	0.51%	1.18%	1.06%	0.97%	1.05%	1.05%	1.06%	1.07%	Consistent with the past trend.
05	Asset renewal and upgrade compared to depreciation	New in 2020	New in 2020	121.92%	194.21%	670.08%	600.66%	76.06%	60.76%	54.81%	Due to significant asset renewal and upgrade expenditure forecasted for 2021-22.
	[Asset renewal and asset upgrade expense / Asset depreciation] x100										
Oper	ating position										
ł	Adjusted underlying result										
OP1	Adjusted underlying surplus (or deficit)	0.69%	-0.20%	-3.27%	-13.71%	2.08%	-8.53%	-2.94%	-1.62%	-2.20%	Improvement in the result for 2021-22 is mainly due to the decrease in operating expenses.
	[Adjusted underlying surplus (deficit)/ Adjusted underlying revenue] x100										
Stabi	lity										
	Rates concentration										
S1	Rates compared to adjusted underlying revenue	65.37%	65.44%	65.13%	67.46%	62.59%	70.07%	69.54%	69.02%	68.98%	Consistent with the past trend.
	[Rate revenue / Adjusted underlying revenue] x100 Rates effort										
S2	Rates compared to property values	0.28%	0.26%	0.26%	0.25%	0.26%	0.24%	0.24%	0.25%	0.25%	Consistent with the past trend.
32	[Rate revenue / Capital improved value of rateable properties in the	0.28%	0.2078	0.20%	0.23/6	0.2078	0.2478	0.24%	0.2378	0.2378	consistent with the past trend.
	municipality] x100										
Retir	ed Measures		1		J		Ι				
Dime	nsion/indicator/measure	Results	Results	Results		Results					
		2018	2019	2020		2021 HY					Comments
	Efficiency										
	Revenue level										
E1	Average residential rate per residential property assessment	\$2,033	\$2,018	Retired in 2020	Retired in 2020	Retired in 2020					This measure was replaced by E4 from 1 July 2019.
	[Residential rate revenue / Number of residential property										
	assessments]										
	Obligations										
	Asset renewal										
01	Asset renewal compared to depreciation	81.01%	119.16%	Retired in 2020	Retired in 2020	Retired in 2020					This measure was replaced by O5 in 1 July 2019.
	[Asset renewal expense / Asset depreciation] x100										