

Appendix 3c

16.2 2022–23 Quarterly Financial Report as at 31 December 2022

Financial Performance Indicators

Ordinary Meeting of Council

Wednesday 22 February 2023 at 7:00pm

Queenscliff Town Hall

Borough of Queenscliffe

Performance Indicators - Sustainable Capacity Indicators
For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update

-	e Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update tor / measure	Results	Results	Results	Results	Results	Results		
marca	The date		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023 HY	Comments	
Populo	ation	I.	l.						
C1	Expenses per head of municipal population [Total expenses / Municipal population]	\$3,593	\$3,705	\$4,018	\$4,282	\$3,886	\$4,218	Queenscliffe has a very small population base of 3,054 when compared to other municipalities. Over 55% of rateable properties in Queenscliffe are non-permanent residences that are not considered in this indicator.	
C2	Infrastructure per head of municipal population [Value of infrastructure / Municipal population]	\$12,187	\$12,038	\$12,565	\$12,601	\$15,361	\$16,460	The significant amount of asset renewal and upgrade expenditure incurred/planned to incur during 2021-22 and 2022-23, predominantly due to road safety upgrade projects, Queenscliff cultural hub project and Queenscliffe boat ramp upgrade project, has an impact on this indicator.	
С3	Population density per length of road	68.23	69.35	68.37	69.95	71.02	71.02	43 km of local roads for a population of 3,054. Queenscliffe has a comparatively low population (the smallest in the state) and a comparatively low length of road.	
Own-s	ource revenue	1	1				,		
C4	Own-source revenue per head of municipal population	\$3,199	\$3,264	\$3,258	\$3,250	\$3,416	\$3,742	The Borough's own source revenue includes municipal rates, and a comparatively high level of user fees and charges income, particularly with respect to fees from Tourist parks. An increase in income from Tourist parks is projected for 2022-23.	
	Own-source revenue per head of municipal population								
Recurr	ent grants	ı	I				1		
C5	Recurrent grants per head of municipal population [Recurrent grants / Municipal population]	\$344	\$403	\$563	\$279	\$506	\$243	Early receipt of 2022-23 Financial Assistance grant in 2021-22 has an impact on this indicator.	
Disad	vantage								
C6	Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage	10	10	10	10	10	1 10	Queenscliffe's relative socioeconomic disadvantage has improved, from 9 at the 2011 Census to 10 in the 2016 Census.	
	[Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage by decile]								

Perfo	Borough of Queenscliffe Performance Indicators - Sustainable Capacity Indicators For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update										
Work	Workforce turnover										
C7	Percentage of staff turnover	11.11%	16.82%	15.38%	14.81%	16.36%	3.57%	Two staff resignations and terminations in the 1st half of 2022-23.			
	[Number of permanent staff resignations and terminations / Average number of permanent staff for the financial year] x100										

Note: The mid year data for the LGPRF indicators are indicative only. Some of the indicators have been calculated based on 6 months of data only and is not indicative of annual performance.

Borough of Queenscliffe Performance Indicators - Service Performance Indicators For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update Results Results Results Results Results Service/indicator /measure Results Comments 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 HY Aquatic Facilities Utilisation Utilisation of aquatic facilities N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Queenscliffe does not provide this service. [Number of visits to aquatic facilities / Municipal population] **Animal Management** Health and safety Zero prosecutions. Council's policy of 'first ride home' for straying New in New in animals results in a greater emphasis on education. Animal

0%

100.00%

54

36.72%

2020

100.00%

53

39.98%

2020

100.00%

49

36.92%

0%

100.00%

59

31.30%

0%

100.00%

63

26.94%

0%

100.00%

N/A

22.91%

borrowers.

owners are made aware of the potential penalties resulting in a

Council has achieved a 100.00% compliance rate over the past

The number of active borrowers in the last two years has decreased while the population has increased slightly. The

limited space at the temporary location had an impact on active

reduced likelihood of penalties being issued.

The survey is currently being conducted.

Animal management prosecutions

Health and safety

premises] x100

Satisfaction with council decisions

Active library borrowers in municipality

Satisfaction

Participation

Food Safety

Governance

G5

Libraries

of animal management prosecutions] x 100

Critical and major non-compliance outcome notifications

[Number of successful animal management prosecutions / Number

[Number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food premises followed up / Number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food

[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how council has performed in making decisions in the interest of the community]

[Number of active library borrowers in the last three years / The

sum of the population for the last three years] x100

Borough of Queenscliffe Performance Indicators - Service Performance Indicators For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update Results Results Results Results Results Service/indicator /measure Results Comments 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 HY Maternal and Child Health (MCH) **Participation** 87.65% 71.50% 63.98% 68.71% 73.24% Half yearly data not available. MC4 Participation in the MCH service N/A [Number of children who attend the MCH service at least once (in the year) / Number of children enrolled in the MCH service] x100 **Participation** No Aboriginal children enrolled in the MCH service during the MC5 Participation in the MCH service by Aboriginal children 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% reporting period. [Number of Aboriginal children who attend the MCH service at least once (in the year) / Number of Aboriginal children enrolled in the MCH service] x100 Roads Satisfaction R5 Satisfaction with sealed local roads 65 65 66 66 65 N/A The survey is currently being conducted. [Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how council has performed on the condition of sealed local roads] Statutory Planning **Decision** making

0.00%

46.92%

0.00%

55.50%

100.00%

55.57%

100.00%

69.00%

Note: The mid year data for the LGPRF indicators are indicative only. Some of the indicators have been calculated based on 6 months of data only and is not indicative of annual performance.

52.68%

50.00%

40.00%

54.98%

Council planning decisions upheld at VCAT

relation to planning applications] x100

WC5 Kerbside collection waste diverted from landfill

from kerbside bins] x100

Waste Collection

Waste diversion

[Number of VCAT decisions that did not set aside council's decision in relation to a planning application / Number of VCAT decisions in

[Weight of recyclables and green organics collected from kerbside bins / Weight of garbage, recyclables and green organics collected 3 planning decisions were referred to VCAT in the 1st half of 2022-

The FOGO program is having a positive impact on this indicator.

23 and all decisions were upheld at VCAT.

Borough of Queenscliffe

Performance Indicators - Financial Performance Indicators

For t	For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update											
			Results	Results	Results	Results	Results		Fore	casts		
	nsion/indicator /measure	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023 HY	2023	2024	2025	2026	Material Variations and Comments
Effici	•											
E2	Expenditure level Expenses per property assessment	\$3,429	\$3,588	\$3,816	\$4,168	\$3,824	\$4,135	\$4,037	\$3,989	\$3,998	\$4,099	The majority of expenditure incurred by Queenscliffe is non-discretionary and part of managing the services expected of a local council. Given the very small ratepayer base, this translates into a level of expenditure per property assessment which is higher than the outcome for other local councils.
E4	[Total expenses / Number of property assessments] Revenue level Average rate per property assessment [General rates and Municipal charges / Number of property assessments]	New in 2020	New in 2020	\$2,101	\$2,154	\$2,186	\$2,224	\$2,224	\$2,285	\$2,353	\$2,416	Rate revenue represents a high proportion of Queenscliffe's revenue and spread across a very small ratepayer base.
Liqui	•											
L1	Working capital Current assets compared to current liabilities [Current assets / Current liabilities] x100 Unrestricted cash	288.38%	503.81%	513.55%	294.05%	310.17%	434.53%	377.04%	289.67%	304.77%	292.98%	Consistent with the past trend.
L2	Unrestricted cash compared to current liabilities [Unrestricted cash / Current liabilities] x100	-52.43%	58.56%	-151.20%	38.73%	43.90%	159.86%	107.00%	41.00%	46.37%	46.01%	Term deposits having original maturities of more than 90 days are being considered unrestricted.
Oblig	ations											
Oblig	Loans and borrowings	1										
02	Loans and borrowings Loans and borrowings compared to rates [Interest bearing loans and borrowings / Rate revenue] x100	0.91%	0.64%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Council has a debt free balance sheet.
О3	Loans and borrowings repayments compared to rates	0.64%	0.26%	0.25%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Council has a debt free balance sheet.
	[Interest and principal repayments on interest bearing loans and borrowings / Rate revenue] x100											

Borough of Queenscliffe

Performance Indicators - Financial Performance Indicators
For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update

For t	For the Year Ended 30 June 2023 - Half Year Update											
		Results	Results	Results	Results	Results	Results		Fore	casts		
Dime	Dimension/indicator / measure		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023 HY	2023	2024	2025	2026	Material Variations and Comments
04	Indebtedness Non-current liabilities compared to own source revenue	1.08%	0.51%	1.18%	1.06%	1.09%	1.01%	1.05%	1.03%	1.03%	1.03%	Consistent with previous year.
	[Non-current liabilities / Own source revenue] x100 Asset renewal and upgrade											Due to significant asset renewal and upgrade expenditure for
05	Asset renewal and upgrade compared to depreciation [Asset renewal and asset upgrade expense / Asset depreciation] x100	New in 2020	New in 2020	121.92%	194.21%	540.62%	225.45%	170.06%	173.21%	154.07%	186.82%	2021-22, the indicator was elevated for the previous year.
Oper	ating position											
OP1	Adjusted underlying result Adjusted underlying surplus (or deficit)	0.69%	-0.20%	-3.27%	-13.71%	5.58%	-3.60%	-13.40%	-4.54%	-0.78%	-0.86%	Mainly, early receipt of the 2022-23 Financial Assistance grant
	[Adjusted underlying surplus (deficit)/ Adjusted underlying revenue] x100											in advance in 2021-22 has an impact on this indicator.
Stabi	lity											
S1	Rates concentration Rates compared to adjusted underlying revenue [Rate revenue / Adjusted underlying revenue] x100	65.37%	65.44%	65.13%	67.46%	63.30%	65.44%	73.36%	70.44%	69.77%	69.89%	Consistent with the past trend.
S2	Rates effort Rates compared to property values	0.28%	0.26%	0.26%	0.25%	0.24%	0.18%	0.18%	0.18%	0.19%	0.19%	Significant increase in the value of the properties in the Borough has an impact on this indicator.
	[Rate revenue / Capital improved value of rateable properties in the municipality] x100											